Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/080,890	LUO, HUITAO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yubin Hung	2625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Yubin Hung</u> .	(3)		
(2) Steven W. Smyrski.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>18 October 2005</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1,11 and 18.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Smyrski presented for examiner's information planned amendment to claim 1 to incorpoatedallowable subject matter and to claims 11 and 18 to overcome 35 USC 112 objection.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT FERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	
,			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/080,890	LUO, HUITAO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yubin Hung	2625	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Pe	nding.	
(1) Yubin Hung.	(3)		
(2) <u>Steven W. Smyrski</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005	Time: <u>14:30</u>	•	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	•		
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:	•		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER  Discussed proposed change to the title with Applicant's attorney	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
<ul> <li>Part III.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
		`	
	•		
Mish			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	